19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
7 8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
9	RUSSELL TRUNZO,							
10	Petitioner,	No. C 05-00734 JSW						
11	v.							
12 13 14 15 16	JILL BROWN, Warden of San Quentin State Prison, and THE BOARD OF PRISON TERMS, and ARNOLD SCHWAZENEGGER, Governor, real parties in interest,  Respondents.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE						
17	Movant, Russell Trunzo, a state prisoner, ha	as filed a petition for a writ of habeas con						

rpus

#### BACKGROUND

Petitioner was convicted by a jury of one count of murder in the second degree (Cal. Penal Code (§187) and was sentenced to 15 years to life in state prison.

### LEGAL CLAIMS

Petitioner does not challenge the validity of his underlying conviction or the resulting sentence. Rather, Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief by raising the following claims: (1) the California Board of Prison Terms ("the Board") has violated the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution by its repeated denials of parole to Petitioner; (2) the Board has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution by its repeated denials of parole to Petitioner; and (3) the Board's repeated denials of his parole constitutes cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eight

_	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	41

Amendment.	Liberal	ly construed	, the claims	appear p	otentially	colorable	under	28 U	.S.C. §
2254 and me	rit an ans	wer from R	espondents.						

# **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown:

- 1. Petitioner shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order and the petition and all attachments thereto upon Respondents.
- 2. Respondents shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within 60 days of the date of this Order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the administrative record that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.
- 3. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondents within 30 days of his receipt of the answer.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 15, 2005

/s/ Jeffrey S. White

JEFFREY S. WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE